



**Variance Board Regular Meeting
City Council Chambers, 2660 Civic Center Drive
Minutes – Wednesday, February 2, 2022 – 5:30 p.m.**

Pursuant to Minn. Stat. 13.D.021, Variance Board members, City Staff, and members of the public participated in this meeting electronically due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

1. Call to Order

Chair Pribyl called to order the regular meeting of the Variance Board meeting at approximately 5:30 p.m. and reviewed the role and purpose of the Variance Board.

2. Roll Call & Introductions

At the request of Chair Pribyl, City Planner Thomas Paschke called the Roll.

Members Present: Chair Michelle Pribyl; Vice Chair Michelle Kruzel; and Member Karen Schaffhausen.

Members Absent: None

Staff Present: City Planner Thomas Paschke, Community Development Director Janice Gundlach and Senior Planner Bryan Lloyd.

3. Approval of Agenda

MOTION

Member Schaffhausen moved, seconded by Member Kruzel to approve the agenda as presented.

Ayes: 3

Nays: 0

Motion carried.

4. Review of Minutes: January 5, 2022

MOTION

Member Kruzel moved, seconded by Member Schaffhausen to approve the January 5, 2022 meeting minutes.

Ayes: 3

Nays: 0

Motion carried.

5. Public Hearing

Chair Pribyl reviewed protocol for Public Hearings and public comment and opened the Public Hearing at approximately 5:35 p.m.

a. **PLANNING FILE 21-018**

Request by Andrew Parker for a Variance to §1004.08 to Allow a Proposed Attached Garage to Encroach into Required Setbacks at 2674 Victoria Street
Senior Planner Lloyd reviewed the variance request for this property, as detailed in the staff report dated February 2, 2022.

Member Kruzal wondered if there were any comments from the neighbors.

Mr. Lloyd indicated staff has not received any comments from the surrounding homeowners.

Member Schaffhausen asked because they are replicating and now there is an outbuilding, what constitutes an outbuilding and would the garage be considered an outbuilding. Does the City have to take that into consideration with regard to the number of buildings this property has on site or is that not something needed to be considered.

Mr. Lloyd explained that is not something that was addressed in the presentation because there is not anything with effect to City regulations that would cause a problem. A storage building or two would still be allowed. He believed the intention would be to remove the garage. Partly because the overhead garage door is a useful part of it to get things in and out and it would be rather close behind the proposed addition and also looking at it more closely, the sunroom addition would be less than five feet away in the end from that garage and the City has a minimum spacing requirement for spacing between structures of five feet. He overlooked that as a potential need for a condition because he figured it would be removed, just because of its inaccessibility. It may not be a bad idea to have a condition of approval that the existing garage be removed or otherwise be relocated so it is at least five feet from the back of the structure.

Mr. Andrew Parker, 2674 Victoria Street explained in regards to the concern of the neighbor to the north, she is aware of the plan and has seen copies of what is being proposed and is in favor of this addition. In regard to the existing garage, that is going to be removed. He indicated he did not have an issue if a condition is put on this for removal of the garage.

Chair Pribyl closed the public hearing at 5:45 p.m.

MOTION

Member Schaffhausen moved, seconded by Member Kruzal, adoption of Variance Board Resolution No. 160 (Attachment D), entitled “A Resolution Approving a Variance to Roseville City Code §1004.08.B, Residential Setbacks, at 2674 Victoria Street (PF21-018).”

Ayes: 3

Nays: 0

Motion carried.

6. Adjourn

MOTION

Chair Pribyl adjourned the meeting at 5:47 p.m.